

## Introduction

- High-speed 2D intensity and 3D range images support automated pavement assessment.
- Reliable segmentation needs pixel-level masks that preserve cracks, joints, and patches.
- Annotation is the main bottleneck, especially for thin cracks and joint-adjacent distress.

## Background

- Model quality depends strongly on label consistency and data preparation.
- Multimodal images help because some features are clearer in intensity and others in range.
- Early project results showed that workflow quality can limit performance as much as model choice.

## Objectives

- Develop a faster and more repeatable annotation workflow.
- Compare manual and assisted labeling across distress types.
- Benchmark a real-time baseline and a transformer-based segmentation model.
- Balance annotation effort, mask quality, and deployment relevance.

## Data


- Paired 2D intensity and 3D range images from concrete pavement sections.
- This poster emphasizes the verified CRCP experiments and current workflow lessons.

**Verified source split**  
141 train / 30 val / 31 test images  
6-class CRCP source dataset

**Current crop training**  
1034 / 440 / 429 crops  
train / val / test

## Representative Labeling Examples


### Representative CRCP intensity-image examples and reviewed overlays



CRCP examples only; used to illustrate rigid-pavement annotation behavior.

## Annotation Effort by Distress Type


### Representative pilot annotation effort by distress family (mixed manual and assisted workflows)



Representative pilot cases mix manual and assisted workflows. Compact areal defects were the best candidates for assisted labeling; long cracks and joints remained the dominant manual burden.


## Methods / Workflow

- Standardized labeling rules and repeated review were used to improve repeatability.
- Local contrast sharpening was used only as an annotation aid.



## Assisted Labeling Example

### Smart Select comparison on a representative CRCP example



Qualitative comparison only; same CRCP longitudinal-joint labeling context. SAM3 appears visually cleaner, but formal time gain still requires controlled benchmarking.

## Model Comparison

### YOLOv8-seg

Real-time instance-segmentation baseline.

Best pilot result: mAP@0.50 = 0.305

Current evidence is strongest for larger patch-like regions.

### SegFormer-B2

Transformer-based semantic-segmentation benchmark.

Best verified result: val mIoU = 0.5349  
test mIoU = 0.4984

## Workflow Observations


- The largest gains followed improvements in label quality, workflow consistency, and training-data preparation.
- Compact areal defects responded best to assistance; long cracks and joints still needed substantial manual cleanup.
- Multimodal imagery remained important where low contrast or subtle geometry made single-modality interpretation harder.

## Practical Takeaway

**The strongest gains came from fixing labels, enforcing quality control, and matching the training representation to the evaluation view.**

## Results & Discussion

Largest gain followed corrected remapping and crop-consistent training. Test mIoU improved from 0.3811 to 0.4984 in the verified SegFormer-B2 chain.



**Best verified test mIoU**  
**0.4984**  
Corrected 6-class + oversampling

**Test macro-Dice**  
**0.6155**  
SegFormer-B2

**YOLO mAP@0.50**  
**0.305**  
Pilot real-time baseline

## Key Findings

- Correcting remapped masks and enforcing quality control produced the largest performance improvement.
- Representation-consistent crop training improved segmentation accuracy after the data pipeline was repaired.
- Thin and rare distress types remained more difficult than larger patch-like regions.

## Conclusion

- Workflow quality mattered as much as model choice.
- The strongest gains came from fixing labels, enforcing QA, and matching training to evaluation view.

## Future Work

- Improve assistance for thin features such as long cracks and joints.
- Extend the QA-first workflow to ACP and other pavement scenes.
- Balance annotation effort, mask quality, and inference speed for practical agency use.

## Acknowledgments

This research is part of the project "Using Artificial Intelligence to Improve the Accuracy of Automated Pavement Condition Data Collection," funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant No. 2213694).

This research is also sponsored by the Texas Department of Transportation (Project No. 0-7150).

## Keywords

Pavement distress; semantic segmentation; multimodal imaging; intensity; range; annotation workflow; quality assurance.

Note: YOLO mAP and SegFormer mIoU summarize different task roles and should not be treated as the same quantity.