

ESTABLISHING FRICTION AND MACROTEXTURE INVESTIGATORY THRESHOLDS

BORIS GOENAGA, NCSU POSTDOCTORAL RESEARCH SCHOLAR



OUTLINE



- Acknowledgements
- Research Background
- Data
- Friction and Macrotexture Performance
- Safety Performance

Conclusions



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

NCSU

- Dr. Shane Underwood Professor
- Dr. Cassie Castorena Professor
- Paul Rogers Principal, KPR Engineering

- Joseph Barbour, M&T
- Shawn Troy, STU
- Matt Hildebran, M&T
- Andrew Wargo, M&T
- Matthew York, Hydraulics





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

NCSU

- Dr. Shane Underwood Professor
- Dr. Cassie Castorena Professor
- Paul Rogers Principal, KPR Engineering

NCDOT

- Joseph Barbour, M&T
- Shawn Troy, STU
- Matt Hildebran, M&T
- Andrew Wargo, M&T
- Matthew York, Hydraulics

DISCLAIMER

This presentation represents the opinions of the author and is not meant to represent the position or opinions of the NCDOT or its employees.





RESEARCH BACKGROUND

Internal studies on targeted sites (2017-current)

- RP2017-02 Evaluation of Methods for Pavement Surface Friction, Testing on Non-tangent Roadways and Segments (Completed, VT)
- RP2020-11 Evolution of Pavement Friction and Macrotexture after Asphalt Overlay (Completed)
- RP2022-05 Development of Friction Performance Models (Completed)
- RP2024-12 Evaluation of Macrotexture and Friction of Alternative Asphalt Surface Course Material (Completed)
- Network Data Collection (2022, 2023, 2024)
- RP2025-18 Updating Friction/Texture Demand Categories for Improved Pavement Design Guidance (Ongoing)







RESEARCH BACKGROUND

SKID RESISTANCE RELATIONSHIP WITH HIGHWAY SAFETY





RPUG Road Profile Users' Group

RESEARCH BACKGROUND



Characterize the typical range of friction and macrotexture observed in North Carolina by pavement type and traffic levels.

Characterize friction and macrotexture performance models.

Develop friction and macrotexture performance investigatory thresholds.



DATA



Core Acquisition and Lab Measurements

Continuous Friction Measurement Equipment



Lane Departure, Wet-Crashes

- 11 13 14 8 RP2020-11 and RP2022-05 RP2024-12 (North Carolina) * OGFC Double Chip Seal with Fog Seal S9.5B HFST S9.5C Microsurfacing S9.5D OGFC * UTBWC UTBWC
- Group 1 sites for short term monitoring
- Group 2 sites for long-term monitoring
- Group 3 sites for special surface
- Group 4 sites from Network data collection

DATA FRICTION AND MACROTEXTURE MEASUREMENT DEVICES



| Device | BV-11 | AMES AccuTexture 100 | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| Speed | 60-mph (96 km/h) | Posted speed limit | |
| Location | RWP Center of the lane | RWP Center of the lane | |
| Frequency | 3 m (10 ft) | 3 m (10 ft) | |



DATA **OBSERVED MACROTEXTURE VALUES**

file Users' Group





DATA OBSERVED BV-11 FRICTION VALUES

Profile Users' Group





FRICTION AND MACROTEXTURE PERFORMANCE Seasonal effects were modeled

FRICTION MODELS





Seasonal effects were modeled separately and are not shown in the schematic. Seasonality was removed from the data.

With these parameters, the model indicates that: Average traffic needed to reach FN_{max} is 34.9 million repetitions.

- Average FN_{max} is 0.63
- Regional differences were not evident in RP2020-11 and RP2022-5.



FRICTION AND MACROTEXTURE PERFORMANCE

MACROTEXTURE MODELS





SAFETY PERFORMANCE METRICS - TOTAL CRASHES





Crashes/mile moving average for three different time windows.

 The 13-month and 19-month windows, on average, produce similar standard deviations.

A 13-moving window was selected.



SAFETY PERFORMANCE

METRIC CALCULATION



Crashes were computed in a 13month window centered around the measurement date.

➡ For sites tested at their late service life, performance models were used to 'back-cast' friction and macrotexture values to increase the sample size.







SAFETY PERFORMANCE

METRICS – LANE WET DEPARTURE CRASHES

Once the crashes were paired with their respective friction and macrotexture value, crash rates were computed.

For the analysis, lane wet departure crashes were used.







SAFETY PERFORMANCE

APPLICATION TO REFINE PERFORMANCE THRESHOLDS – HIGH SPEED FACILITIES



Final Proposed Friction Thresholds

| Variable | Non- Interchanges | Interchanges | |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------|--|
| FN INV | 0.57 | 0.65 | |
| FN INT | 0.43 | 0.49 | |

Note: $FN_{INT} = 0.75^*FN_{INV}$

Final Proposed Texture Thresholds

| Variable | Non- Interchanges | Interchanges | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|
| MPD _{INV} | 0.80 | 0.80 | | |
| MPD _{INT} | 0.60 | 0.60 | | |
| Note: $MPD_{INT} = 0.75^* MPD_{INV}$ | | | | |

| | Abbreviation | Meaning | Crashes, |
|------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| | FN INV | Investigatory friction threshold | were ago |
| | FN _{INT} | Intervention friction threshold | histogran |
| - 65 | MPD _{INV} | Investigatory MPD threshold | |
| OUD | MPDINT | Intervention MPD threshold | |

- Crashes, traffic, and length were aggregated using the histogram bins.
- **\square** R_B was computed for each bin.



CONCLUSIONS



- Friction exhibits a two-step variation. On average, the transition between these two phases occurs at 34.6 million traffic repetitions.
 - Dense-graded surfaces have slightly lower friction than OGFCs and UTBWCs.
 - The OGFCs and UTBWCs have similar initial friction, but the friction of UTBCs decays faster.
- □ Macrotexture increases with respect to traffic repetitions.
 - Dense-graded surfaces have the lowest macrotexture.
 - UTBWCs have higher MPD values than OGFCs; however, the MPD of OGFC increases faster.
- For non-interchanges, investigatory thresholds of 0.57 and 0.80 mm are proposed for friction and macrotexture, respectively.





THANK YOU



Link to Folder Containing Project Reports

