

New Technology For An Old World

FDOT IMPLEMENTATION TO AUTOMATED DISTRESS RATING

PAVEMENT EVALUATION MANAGER - FDOT



WILLIAM (THAD) BRYANT

OUTLINE



Background – Legacy Method Legacy Distress Rating Challenges Automated Distress System Automated Distress Model Automated Distress Segmentation Automated Rut Depth Data Sharing Tools Future Enhancements





LEGACY METHOD - CRACKING DISTRESS RATING

	CONFINED TO WHEEL PATHS (CW) PREDOMINANT CRACKING CLASS			
PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA AFFECTED BY CRACKING	CLASS I CRACKING Width < 1/8"	CLASS II CRACKING 1/8" < Width ≤ 1/4"	CLASS III CRACKING Width > 1/4" (Including Raveling, Patching & Pumping)	
	DEDUCT	DEDUCT	DEDUCT	
0-5	0.0	0.5	1.0	
6 – 25	1.0	2.0	2.5	
26 – 50	2.0	3.0	4.5	
51+	3.5	5.0	7.0	
	OL	ITSIDE OF WHEEL PATHS (C	CO)	
	OL PRI	ITSIDE OF WHEEL PATHS (C EDOMINANT CRACKING CL	CO) ASS	
PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA AFFECTED BY CRACKING	OL PRI CLASS I CRACKING Width < 1/8"	UTSIDE OF WHEEL PATHS (C EDOMINANT CRACKING CL CLASS II CRACKING 1/8" < Width ≤ 1/4"	CO) ASS CLASS III CRACKING Width > 1/4" (Including Raveling, Patching & Pumping)	
PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA AFFECTED BY CRACKING	OL <i>PRI</i> CLASS I CRACKING Width < 1/8" <u>DEDUCT</u>	ITSIDE OF WHEEL PATHS (C EDOMINANT CRACKING CL CLASS II CRACKING 1/8" < Width ≤ 1/4" <u>DEDUCT</u>	CO) ASS CLASS III CRACKING Width > 1/4" (Including Raveling, Patching & Pumping) <u>DEDUCT</u>	
PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA AFFECTED BY CRACKING 0 - 5	OL <i>PRI</i> CLASS I CRACKING Width < 1/8" <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0	UTSIDE OF WHEEL PATHS (C EDOMINANT CRACKING CL CLASS II CRACKING 1/8" < Width ≤ 1/4" <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0	CO) ASS CLASS III CRACKING Width > 1/4" (Including Raveling, Patching & Pumping) <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0	
PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA AFFECTED BY CRACKING 0-5 6-25	OL PRI CLASS I CRACKING Width < 1/8" <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0 0.5	ITSIDE OF WHEEL PATHS (C EDOMINANT CRACKING CL CLASS II CRACKING 1/8" < Width ≤ 1/4" <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0 1.0	CO) ASS CLASS III CRACKING Width > 1/4" (Including Raveling, Patching & Pumping) <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0 1.0	
PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA AFFECTED BY CRACKING 0-5 6-25 26-50	OL <i>PRI</i> CLASS I CRACKING Width < 1/8" <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0 0.5 1.0	ITSIDE OF WHEEL PATHS (C EDOMINANT CRACKING CL CLASS II CRACKING 1/8" < Width ≤ 1/4" DEDUCT 0.0 1.0 1.5	CO) ASS CLASS III CRACKING Width > 1/4" (Including Raveling, Patching & Pumping) <u>DEDUCT</u> 0.0 1.0 2.0	

CRACK RATING = 10 - (CW + CO)





LEGACY – DISTRESS RATING CHALLENGES



Not easy to determine crack width & extent while driving at traffic speed

Subjective & rater dependent

Assigned as representative condition of entire section (0.500 to 30 miles, construction limits)
Same rating for wide range of distress level and amount

Not ideal for performance modeling
 Crack rating can plateau for several years
 Not accepted by FHWA for HPMS reporting

% Distressed Area			
01 05			
06 25			
26 50			
51+			



AUTOMATED DISTRESS SYSTEM







AUTOMATED DISTRESS SYSTEM

3D laser data for crack, rut, fault & other features

- 4-meter transverse profile consisting of 4160 points
- Sampling Interval 2 mm
- Inertial profiling system (smoothness)
- Cross-slope & grade
- Forward imaging
- GPS (sub-meter accuracy)

 All distresses reported at selected intervals (e.g., 0.1-mile, section limits, etc.)











AUTOMATED CRACK DETECTION



and the second second



Th

and the first of the particular



AUTOMATED CRACK DETECTION

and the second second









AUTOMATED RAVELING DETECTION

Macrotexture features calculated from Range images Images with known raveling severity & calculated macrotexture features used to train machine learning model Machine Learning Technology (Random Forest)

Actual: Medium, Predicted: Medium

Actual: Severe, Predicted: Severe







AUTOMATED RAVELING REPORTING

 Raveling severity assessed every image (20 ft.) & summarized in 0.1-mile intervals Problem areas within a section can be identified Ravel Rating can be used to identify OGFC only candidates Coded and stored separately but included in the overall Crack Rating calculations





AUTOMATED DISTRESS MODEL

To determine a model whose inputs are automated cracking and raveling percents and the output is the Automated Crack Rating
"Crack Rating Transition":

- Similar number of failing miles
- Highest percent of matching miles

Model easy to explain and apply **RPUG**



LCMS Cracking % and Raveling%

AUTOMATED DISTRESS MODEL



St. AUGUSTII



AUTOMATED DISTRESS MODEL



RPUG 2024



FALSE CRACK DETECTION







AUTOMATED DISTRESS SEGMENTATION

Profile Users' Group





AUTOMATED RUT DEPTH

Automated rut provides a more realistic rut depth since wheel path tracking is not critical

Developed a cross-walk between methods & rut depth
New process will maintain an equivalent 10 scale rating





DATA SHARING TOOLS



RPUG 2024



DATA SHARING TOOLS

RPUG 2024

St. AUGUSTII





DATA SHARING TOOLS

RPUG 2024

ST. AUGUSTI





FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS



 Continued effort to clean-up outliers (approximately 3,000 miles) @ 1 mile per hour roughly 3,000 hrs. of review for 1 person Automated detection of patterned pavements (crosswalks) Automated approach to quantify the effects of patching and pumping in the Crack Rating Improve automation for rigid pavement distresses (semi-automated) Enhance QC and data visualization tools Automated Distress Image Viewer Crack Rating Calculator/Slicer



QUESTIONS???



Behind the wheel, the focus must be on only one task:

Safe Driving



