# IRI TESTING IN North Carolina 

Presented by Christopher Bacchi, PE Vice President, Trimat Materials Testing, Inc.
Raleigh, NC


## Current Specifications

- Starting in January 2012, the rideability in NC can be evaluated using the following options:
- 10 ft . Stationary Straightedge (joints, etc)
- 10 ft . Rolling Straightedge (Hearne)
- Inertial Profiler w/ line laser



## CURRENT PROJECT CRITERIA AND SPECIFICATIONS

- Implemented on projects in 2012 and future
- Criteria for Asphalt:
- Facility is 45 mph or greater
- Length is 1 mile or greater
- Must have at least 2 new lifts of asphalt
-And all concrete pavements


## CURRENT PROJECT CRITERIA AND SPECIFICATIONS

- Hearne measurement uses 2500' sections and 0.2", $0.3^{\prime \prime}$ and 0.4 " deviations (blanking bands):
- Less deviations can lead to incentives of \$100 to \$300
- Moderate CSI values can results in disincentives of up to \$600
- More than 6 deviations exceeding 0.2" results in corrective action


# IIVしᄃIV I IV CO HIVN DISINCENTIVES BASED ON MIRI VALUES 

| MIRI After Completion (in/mile) | Price Adjustment Per Lane (0.10 Mile Section) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 45.0 and under | \$200.00 |
| 45.1 - 55.0 | $\mathrm{PA}=600-(10 \mathrm{x}$ MIRI) |
| $55.1-70.0$ | Acceptable - no adjustment |
| 70.1 - 90.0 | $\mathrm{PA}=650-(10 \mathrm{x}$ MIRI) |
| Over 90.1 | Corrective Action |
| IRI Incentive is approxim | ly 3 times Hearne Incentive |

Table 10-7, 2013 NCDOT QMS Manual

## CURRENT PROJECT CRITERIA AND SPECIFICATIONS LOCALIZED ROUGHNESS

- Localized Roughness threshold is 125 in/mile
- Uses Smoothness Assurance Module (SAM) in ProVal.
- 25 ft intervals
- Any LR exceeding 125 in/mile requires corrective action


## Projects

- IRI Projects in the last 2 years in NC have included:
- Interstates - I-540 (concrete), I-40
- 4-6 lane divided - US 64, US 74/19, NC 147, US 311
- 2 lane rural - NC 158


## Project Locations



## Project Results - I540

- I-540 Raleigh, NC
- New Construction - 13 miles (tested only 1 section), 6 lane divided
- Diamond ground concrete
- Pre-grind and post grind testing performed
- Diamond grinding resulted in an average reduction of 60\% in MIRI
- Contractor qualified for \$52,000 in bonus


## PROJECT RESULTS - I540



## Pre-Grind vs. Post Grind



## Project results - I-40

ol-40 Haywood County

- Asphalt Resurfacing (4.5" mill and fill) 5.4 miles
-4 lane divided highway
- OGFC Final Lift
- IRI was run on final 2 lifts (inc. $3 / 4$ " OGFC)


## PROJECT RESULTS - I-40



## Project results - l-40

- Average MIRI
- Eastbound - 30.17 in/mile
- Westbound - 29.19 in/mile
- Avg. of 26\% reduction in MIRI between lifts, however Localized Roughness events increased slightly
- Contractor qualified for \$42,000 in bonus

PROJECT RESULTS - I-40


## Project results

- NC 147 (Triangle Expressway) Durham, NC
- New asphalt construction - 3.6 miles
- 6 (8) lane divided highway
- Paved using a 24' screed, 2 lanes at a time
- IRI was run on final lift only - QA



## PRoject Results - NC 147

- All MIRI values were below $45 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mi}$
- Contractor was eligible for \$40,000 in bonus under current specs.



## PROJECT RESULTS - NC 147



## Project results - US 74

-US 74 Jackson County

- 2 miles, 4 lanes divided; mill and fill asphalt pavement
- Avg. MIRI:
- EB - $44.72 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$
- WB - 50.09 in/mile
- Contractor was eligible for approximately \$10,000 bonus


## PROJECT RESULTS - US 74



## Project results - NC 158

- NC 158 Oxford
- Approximately 4 miles in length, 2 lane rural asphalt roadway, milled 4 ", placed 2.5 " binder and $1.5 "$ surface
- IRI was run on binder and surface mix
- Binder Avg. MIRI:
- EB - $88.37 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$
- WB - $80.00 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$
- Over 400 localized roughness areas were measured on binder


## PROJECT RESULTS - NC 158



## Localized Roughness - Binder




## Project results - NC 158

-NC 158 Oxford - Surface

- Final Surface Mix
- Avg. MIRI:
- EB - 65.07 in/mile
- WB - 67.15 in/mile
- 28\% reduction in MIRI. 192 localized roughness locations
- Contractor received a $\$ 1200$ penalty for ride and may assessed a penalty for all areas of localized roughness


## Future In NC with IRI

- How to properly address localized roughness problems and/or the threshold?
- Grinding? Remove and Replace? Patch? Penalties? Change Threshold?
- Percent improvement for use on asphalt resurfacing projects.
- Run initial IRI, then determine percent improvement based on initial quality. No LR
- Possibly applying different criteria for different facilities

