City of Cleveland Pavement Management Data Collection & Lessons Learned

Road Profiler User's Group October 27, 2008 Charles D. Larson, P.E., PMP





Presentation Overview

- Project Requirements & Results
 - -Scope
 - -Schedule
 - -Quality
- Lessons Learned
- Q&A



City of Cleveland

Project Description and Requirements



- 1250 centerline miles of roadway, with a breakdown of:
 - 64 centerline miles of Principal Arterial
 - 137 centerline miles of Major Arterial
 - 110 centerline miles of Collector Roadways
 - 1,009 centerline miles of Local Roadways
 - The most current GIS files are available from the City
- "Data collection shall be highly automated using highest technology available"



- Gather and provide pavement condition
 information
 - Define street sectioning
 - Perform surface condition survey using GPS, lasers and video to measure roughness, rutting, cracking, texture and distress
 - Provide PCR per ODOT specs
 - Measure and record pavement width
 - Provide visual condition rating (1-5) for curbs & sidewalks
 - FWD testing to assess structural properties, including analysis and structural indices



- Gather and provide digital images of ROW
 - Three views
 - Collection interval of 25 feet (maximum)
 - Geo-coded for use in GIS/RoadManager
 - Complete coverage of the ROW assets:

Travel lanes	Light Poles
Signs & Supports	ADA Ramps
Curbs	Guardrails
Sidewalks	Driveway Aprons
Manholes	Pave. Markings
Hydrants	Trees
Storm Inlets	Traffic Signals
Curb & Gutters	



• Software and systems related

- Provide turn-key solution with RoadManager RPMS and ArcGIS data model
- Provide RoadManager system training
- Provide software for asset extraction using digital images

Business Process Change

- Mayor Jackson wanted to change roadway budget process from population based to needs based.
- Perform an unbiased assessment of needs using third party and automated rating techniques



Project Scope – In Summary

- To include all 1250 centerline miles of roadway
- To gather and provide:
 - Pavement condition information
 - Digital images of ROW
 - Information on roadway assets
- To provide integrated solution using RoadManager RPMS
- To provide software for asset extraction using digital images



City of Cleveland

Project Approach



Data Collection: RT 3000

- All data collected at posted speed
- Testing does not impede flow of traffic
- 100% of roadways tested, no sampling
- Automation and real-time processing used to the maximum extent







Surface Distress Evaluation Line Scan Images



- Distress rating of both severity and extent by image analysis
- Distress quantities precisely measured





Data Collection: RT 3000 Data Attributes

Data Element	System Used	Reporting Format
Roughness	Class I profiler (ASTM E950)	IRI in inches/mile in each wheelpath and Average IRI in inches/mile.
Rutting	Transverse profile using 5 lasers	Rut depth in inches. Report will be average rut or rut for each wheelpath depending upon option requested.
Right-of-Way Digital Images	Geo-3D Kronos using 3 ROW Cameras angled at customers preference	Filename reference within database deliverable. Images to be saved as jpeg format.
Pavement Digital Images	Line Scan Camera	Filename reference within database deliverable. Images to be saved ipeg format.
Faulting and Raveling/Texture	32 kHz Laser	Mean Profile Depth (MPD) in millimeters. Equivalent to Mean Texture Depth (MTD).
Geometrics (optional)	POS/LV Inertial Positioning and orientation system	Heading in degrees. Grade and crossfall in percent slope.
GPS	POS/LV Inertial	X, Y, and Z coordinates. Coordinate
(advanced	Positioning and	system to be determined based on
technology)	orientation system	Department's requirements.



Sample ROW Images







Geo-3D (Trimble) Trident Analyst



Fr: 1255 14:32:45.02 (52365.02) X: -79.719610° Y: 43.487994° Z: 169.06 m V: 54.09 km/h N: 8 Hdp: 1.30 Age: 0 H: 314.4° R: -0.0° P: -0.6° Dmi: 0.00 Ch1: 5014.97 Ch2: 5014.97



Stantec's RT Viewer





Environments Encountered











Project Management Challenge





City of Cleveland

Project Schedule



• Advertised requirements:

- Consultant selection July 5, 2007
- NTP on August 24, 2007
- Substantially complete in December
- In actuality:
 - Consultant selection in August 2007
 - NTP on September 5, 2007
 - Signed contract in December
 - Data delivery.....longer than expected



- Concerns with starting in October
 - Limited amount of daylight in Nov & Dec
 - Leaves
 - Weather
 - Impending snow season





PERT Technique for Estimating Schedules

- The technique and its procedures were developed in the late 1950s jointly by the Special Projects Office of the U.S. Navy (Polaris Program) and Booz-Allen-Hamilton in conjunction with the Lockheed Missiles System Division.
- A probabilistic approach is used to calculate the critical path and other parameters — based on a scheme of making three time estimates for each task.
- Expresses uncertainty in activity duration
 - Beta distribution assumed for activities
 - Assume normally distributed project duration
 - Project duration tends to be Normally Distributed (approx. sum of random variables)
 - Assumes independent Activity Durations (not always the case)



PERT Technique (continued)

- t_o = Optimistic time to complete an activity
- t_p = Pessimistic time to complete an activity
- t_m = Most probable time to complete an activity

Then the "expected" time, T_i to complete an activity is

$$T_i = \frac{t_o + 4t_m + t_p}{6}$$

and the standard deviation, S_i is

$$\mathbf{S}_{i} = \frac{\mathbf{t}_{p} - \mathbf{t}_{o}}{6}$$

and the variance, $V_i = S_i^2$



City of Cleveland Project Schedule PERT Technique (continued)

- (a) calculate the expected time T_i for each activity
- (b) calculate the standard deviation for each activity
- (c) determine the ratio

$$Z = \frac{D - T_{E}}{S_{T}}$$

- where $T_E = \sum_{i=A}^{J} T_i$ is the total expected time to complete
- the project, and

$$V_T = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i^2$$

J

is the total variance in total project completion time.

(d) using the z-table, determine the number of days d needed to complete the project with a given probability (say, 90%).



Beta Distribution





Normal Z-distribution Table

Z	Probability of meeting completion date	_ 1	
3.0	.999	.8	.788
28	997	.6	.726
2.0		.4	.655
2.6	.995	.2	.579
2.4	.992	0	.5
22	986	2	.421
2.2	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4	.345
2.0	.977	6	.274
1.8	.964	8	.212
1.6	.945	-1.0	.159
14	919	-1.2	.115
1.1	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-1.4	.081
1.2	.885	-1.6	.055
1.0	.841	-1.8	.036
		-2.0	.023



Estimate for 2500 miles of roadway collection

- 128 miles of Principal Arterial
- 274 miles of Major Arterial
- 220 miles of Collector Roadways
- 2018 miles of Local Roadways

Principal Arterial

- t_o = Optimistic time to complete activity = 2
- t_p = Pessimistic time to complete activity = 6
- t_m = Most probable time to complete activity = 3

Expected time = T_{PA} = [2 + 4(3) + 6] / 6 = 3.3 days S_{PA} = [6 - 2] / 6 = 0.67

Do same for other roadways



Activity	Expected Time	Variance
Principal Arterial	3.3 days	0.45
Major Arterial	6.8 days	1.36
Collector Roads	6.2 days	1.36
Local Road	69 days	87.1



Activity	Expected Time	Variance
Principal Arterial	3.3 days	0.45
Major Arterial	6.8 days	1.36
Collector Roads	6.2 days	1.36
Local Road	69 days	87.1
Sum	85.6 days	90.3





Actual roadway collection

 $T_{Actual} = 154$ calendar days (not including winter shutdown)

What happened?

 $T_{Actual} = T_{collecting} + T_{bad wx} + T_{equipment down} + T_{no crew}$

$$\begin{split} T_{collecting} &= 94 \text{ days} \\ T_{bad wx} &= 39 \text{ days or } 26\% \text{ (not including winter shutdown)} \\ T_{equipment down} &= 17 \text{ days or } 11\% \end{split}$$





QES 🕉 Stantec



Project Quality



Data Quality Assurance Program

- Overall quality monitoring process
 - Used QES to perform independent rating on a 10% random sample
 - Rating and scoring procedures were verified using Cleveland requirements
 - Identified control sites for each pavement type and determined expected variability (City, Stantec & QES raters)
 - Established 95% confidence level
 - Different statistics for different data sets
- Unacceptable data would be reprocessed (if needed)
- Prepared summary of QA results



Ashpalt Pavement Samples PCR Quality Assurance Plot





Jointed Concrete Pavement Samples PCR Quality Assurance Plot





Brick Pavement Samples PCR Quality Assurance Plot





Summary of QA Results

Pavement Type	# Samples Compared	% Passing PCR Check
Brick	19	100
Flexible	701	96.4
Rigid	70	95.7



Interstate ACP LDR Comparison



IV&V Charts for VDOT District 4 Asphalt Interstate Pavements





Lessons Learned



Lessons Learned

Scope

 Network of 1250 centerline miles had large quantities of distressed pavement

• Schedule

- Late/early season work is challenge (snow, daylight, etc)
- Equipment breakdowns were exacerbated by slow speeds & short sections
- Delays impacted client and we worked proactively
- Quality
 - Quality Management processes used successfully
 - High quality data delivered and documented





Cleveland Pavement Management- Ward 16

Data Collection Findings Through February 2008



Questions and Answers

